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ON-LINE CHECKLIST AVAILABILITY AND RESOURCES

Participants of the CAP accreditation programs may download the checklists from the CAP website (cap.org) by logging into e-LAB Solutions Suite. They are available in different checklist types and formatting options, including:

- Master — contains ALL of the requirements and instructions available in PDF, Word/XML or Excel formats
- Custom — customized based on the laboratory’s activity (test) menu; available in PDF, Word/XML or Excel formats
- Changes Only — contains only those requirements with significant changes since the previous checklist edition in a track changes format to show the differences; in PDF version only. Requirements that have been moved or merged appear in a table at the end of the file.

A repository of questions and answers and other resources is also available in e-LAB Solutions Suite under Accreditation Resources, Checklist Requirement Q & A.

SUMMARY OF CHECKLIST EDITION CHANGES
Cytogenetics Checklist
09/22/2021 Edition

The information below includes a listing of checklist requirements with significant changes in the current edition and previous edition of this checklist. The list is separated into three categories:

1. New
2. Revised:
   - Modifications that may require a change in policy, procedure, or process for continued compliance; or
   - A change to the Phase
3. Deleted/Moved/Merged:
   - Deleted
   - Moved — Relocation of a requirement into a different checklist (requirements that have been resequenced within the same checklist are not listed)
   - Merged — The combining of similar requirements

NOTE: The requirements listed below are from the Master version of the checklist. The customized checklist version created for on-site inspections and self-evaluations may not list all of these requirements.

NEW Checklist Requirements
None

REVISED Checklist Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYG.32700</td>
<td>06/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYG.40500</td>
<td>09/22/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYG.41100</td>
<td>09/22/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYG.47866</td>
<td>06/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYG.47880</td>
<td>09/22/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYG.48932</td>
<td>09/22/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYG.49485</td>
<td>09/22/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYG.50000</td>
<td>09/22/2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DELETED/MOVED/Merged Checklist Requirements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYG.42775</td>
<td>09/21/2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

This checklist is used in conjunction with the All Common (COM) and Laboratory General Checklists to inspect a cytogenetics laboratory section or department.

Cytogenetics inspectors should be pathologists, cytogeneticists or cytogenetic technologists who are actively involved with or have extensive experience in the practice of cytogenetics, are knowledgeable about current CAP Checklist and CLIA requirements, and have completed CAP Inspector Training. Inspectors should, to the greatest extent possible, be peers of the laboratory being inspected.

Laboratories not subject to US regulations: Checklist requirements apply to all laboratories unless a specific disclaimer of exclusion is stated in the checklist. When the phrase "FDA-cleared/approved test (or assay)" is used within the checklist, it also applies to tests approved by an internationally recognized regulatory authority (eg, CE-marking).

LABORATORY SAFETY

The inspector should review relevant requirements from the Safety section of the Laboratory General checklist, to assure that the cytogenetics laboratory is in compliance. Please elaborate upon the location and the details of each deficiency in the Inspector’s Summation Report.

QUALITY MANAGEMENT

Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of quality monitoring records
- Review records of culture and hybridization failures, and sub-optimal analyses for trends. Determine if the procedures and processes produce a thorough investigation with appropriate corrective action taken.

CYG.20200 Quality Indicators

The laboratory monitors and evaluates key quality indicators, such as the following.

1. Control of pre-analytic variables (specimen collection and delivery)
2. Cytogenetic, in situ hybridization, and chromosomal microarray analysis test ordering practices
3. Provision of sufficient clinical information to ensure that the proper choice of growth medium, probe sets, and analytic techniques are made

REFERENCES

The number or frequency of culture failures, hybridization failures, and/or suboptimal analyses is recorded, and there are records of corrective action when adverse trends occur.

QUALITY CONTROL (QC)

SUPERVISION OF QUALITY CONTROL

Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of QC policies and procedures
- Sampling of QC records
- Records of final report error investigation

- How do you determine when QC is unacceptable and when corrective actions are needed?

- Select several occurrences in which QC is out of range and determine whether the steps taken follow the laboratory procedure for corrective action.

**CYG.30066  Monthly QC Review** Phase II

Quality control data are reviewed and assessed at least monthly by the laboratory director or designee.

*NOTE: QC data may include specimen handling, culture failures, new media QC, new reagent lot verification, etc. Records of quality control review must include follow-up for outliers, trends, or omissions that were not previously addressed. The QC data for tests performed less frequently than once per month should be reviewed when the tests are performed.*

**Evidence of Compliance:**

✓ Records of QC review including follow-up for outliers, trends, or omissions

**CYG.30325  Reporting Error Investigation** Phase II

All errors that are identified in the final report are thoroughly investigated, and the results of such investigations are recorded.

*NOTE: The results of such investigations must be recorded and reviewed as part of the ongoing laboratory QM process.*

**CYG.30350  Specimen Handling** Phase II
Records indicate the media used, culture conditions, probes used, and incubation times for all preparations.

REFERENCES

CYG.30360 QC Handling Phase II
Control specimens are tested in the same manner and by the same personnel as patient/client samples.

NOTE: QC specimens must be analyzed by personnel who routinely perform patient/client testing - this does not imply that each operator must perform QC daily, so long as each instrument and/or test system has QC performed at required frequencies, and all analysts participate in QC on a regular basis. To the extent possible, all steps of the testing process must be controlled.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Records reflecting that QC is run by the same personnel performing patient testing

REFERENCES

CYG.30550 QC Confirmation of Acceptability Phase II
Results of controls are reviewed for acceptability before reporting of patient results.

NOTE: Controls must be reviewed before reporting patient results.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy statement that controls are reviewed and acceptable prior to reporting patient results AND
✓ Records of control result approval

REFERENCES

CYG.30600 Alternative Control Procedures Phase II
If the laboratory performs test procedures for which control materials are not commercially available, there are written procedures for an alternative mechanism to detect immediate errors and monitor test system performance over time. The performance of alternative control procedures must be recorded.

NOTE: "Performance" includes elements of accuracy, precision, and clinical discriminating power. Examples of "alternative" procedures may include split sample testing with another method or with another laboratory, the testing of previously tested patient specimens in duplicate, testing of patient specimens in duplicate, or other defined processes approved by the laboratory director.

Specific examples for cytogenetics include:
- Confirming the presence of similar karyotypic changes in two independently established cultures analyzed by two different technologists
- For SNP array, correlating the results from the SNP and copy number data
- Correlating the results obtained by one method with another when a combination of methods are performed (eg, correlating G-banded chromosome analysis with FISH results or genomic array)
- Refer to CYG.43200 for in situ hybridization
Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedures for alternative quality control AND
✓ Records of alternative control procedures

REFERENCES

REPORTS

Reporting requirements for use of analyte-specific reagents and other reagents used in laboratory-developed tests are included in the All Common Checklist (COM.40850).

Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of reporting policies and procedures
- Sampling of patient preliminary and final reports for completeness, appropriate use of ISCN edition and recommendations for genetic consultation or additional studies
- Sampling of TAT statistics

- How does your laboratory maintain records of verbal/telephone preliminary reports?
- What is your course of action when turnaround times exceed limits?

- Search for reporting errors. Determine whether the investigation was thorough and appropriate corrective action was taken.

CYG.31825 Preliminary Reports
Phase I

Provision of preliminary reports (especially verbal, telephone reports) is recorded on the final report.

CYG.31875 Final Report Elements
Phase II

The final reports contain all of the following required elements.

1. Name and address of testing laboratory
2. Patient name
3. Unique identifying number
4. Patient date of birth
5. Name of physician, or authorized person ordering test
6. Specimen source
7. Date specimen received in the laboratory
8. Date of report
9. Clinical indication(s) for the test
10. Number of cells counted, analyzed, and karyograms prepared, as applicable
11. Band resolution (required only for constitutional cases), as applicable
12. Banding methods, as applicable
13. Comment on adequacy of specimen, if indicated
NOTE: Items 10, 11, and 12 above apply to conventional cytogenetics (G-banded) analyses.

REFERENCES

CYG.31880  Report Review

The final report for conventional cytogenetics (G-banding) and metaphase FISH analyses are reviewed and signed by the cytogenetics section director (or qualified cytogeneticist designated by the section director).

NOTE: A qualified designee must be: 1) a doctor of medicine or doctor of osteopathy licensed to practice medicine in the state in which the laboratory is located; or 2) hold an earned doctoral degree in a biological science or clinical laboratory science from an accredited institution.

In addition, each qualified cytogeneticist must have either a) successfully completed an accredited fellowship with an emphasis on clinical cytogenetics, or b) in the absence of fellowship training, have four years of training or experience or both in human medical genetics or pathology, two of which have been in clinical cytogenetics.

CYG.31903  Turnaround Time

The laboratory has defined sample turnaround times that are appropriate for the intended purpose of the test and performs ongoing monitoring for compliance.

NOTE: Appropriate turnaround times will vary by test type and clinical application. There are certain clinical situations in which rapid completion is essential. For example, inappropriate delays in completing a prenatal diagnosis test can cause unacceptable emotional stress for the parents, make ultimate pregnancy termination (if chosen) much more difficult, or even render the results of the test unusable.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure defining turnaround time and mechanism for monitoring AND
✓ Records showing that defined turnaround times are routinely met

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

CYG.32071  Final Report Contents

The final report includes a summary of the results and an interpretation that includes correlation of the cytogenetic results with clinical information and previous studies, when appropriate.

NOTE: The interpretation must be written to facilitate understanding by a non-geneticist.

CYG.32100  Nomenclature

For conventional cytogenetic studies, the current International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN) is used correctly in the final report.

NOTE: The purpose is to provide universal interpretation of cytogenetic results without pictures of the karyogram.

REFERENCES
CYG.32250  Recommendations in Final Report

The final report contains recommendations for genetic consultation or additional studies, when appropriate.

REFERENCES
1) American Board of Medical Genetics and Genomics http://www.abmgg.org/
2) National Society of Genetic Counselors http://www.nsgc.org/
3) American Board of Genetic Counseling http://www.abgc.net/

RECORDS

Inspector Instructions:
- Record/specimen retention policy
- Sampling of patient records and materials

CYG.32500  Laboratory Record Information

The laboratory record includes the number of cells counted, analyzed microscopically and the number from which photographic or digitized karyograms were prepared.

REFERENCES

**REVISED** 06/04/2020

CYG.32700  Record and Material Retention - Cytogenetics

Records and materials are retained in compliance with applicable national, federal, state (or provincial), and local laws and regulations and as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Record/Material</th>
<th>Retention Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original specimen and cultures</td>
<td>Until release of the final report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed specimens or cell pellets</td>
<td>2 weeks after final report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanently stained slides</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorochrome-stained slides</td>
<td>At the discretion of the laboratory director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal microarray slides</td>
<td>At the discretion of the laboratory director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Images of ISH and non-ISH (eg, G-banded) studies - hard copy (negatives or prints) and/or in retrievable digitized formats</td>
<td>10 years for neoplastic disorders 20 years for non-neoplastic/constitutional disorders (see NOTE 3 below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal microarray data</td>
<td>Original scan for at least two weeks after the final report is released Sufficient original data to support primary results generated and re-analysis for a minimum of two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Report (electronic versions are acceptable)</td>
<td>10 years for neoplastic disorders 20 years for constitutional conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 1: The intent is to retain evidence of case results for any future need, such as further family studies, monitoring disease, legal issues, etc.
NOTE 2: Because information technology software and hardware continue to change, access to some digitally archived material may be lost. However, reasonable due diligence should be exercised to maintain access for the full retention period.

NOTE 3: For an ISH assay with a normal result, retain an image of at least one cell illustrating the normal probe signal pattern. For an ISH assay with an abnormal result, retain images of at least two cells illustrating each relevant abnormal probe signal pattern.

NOTE 4: There is no retention requirement for images of slide preparations when the source slides remain readable for the required retention period.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written record and material retention policy

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

REAGENTS

Inspector Instructions:

• Sampling of records of media checks

Additional requirements are in the REAGENTS section of the All Common Checklist.

CYG.33300 Media QC Phase II

Each lot of culture medium is checked onsite for sterility and the ability to support growth.

NOTE: Each laboratory must perform its own QC on new lots of culture media. It is not acceptable practice to rely on QC testing performed at another site.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written media QC procedure AND
✓ Records of media checks and actions taken when media is unsuitable

INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT

The checklist requirements in this section should be used in conjunction with the requirements in the All Common Checklist relating to instruments and equipment.
Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of gas monitoring records for gas-dependent equipment
- Records of biological safety cabinet certification

**CYG.33700 Gas-Dependent Equipment**  
Phase II

All gas-dependent equipment (eg, incubators) is monitored and the gas concentration is recorded each day of use, with records of corrective action when values fall outside the acceptable range.

**NOTE:** Gas concentrations in equipment using modified atmospheres must be monitored and recorded on each day of use. External methods of monitoring (eg, Fyrite) must be performed monthly and recorded.

The two acceptable ways of recording gas levels are: 1) recording the numerical value, or 2) placing a mark on a graph that corresponds to a numerical value (either manually, or using a graphical recording device). The identity of the individual recording the gas levels must be documented (recording the initials of the individual is adequate).

The use of automated (including remote) gas monitoring systems is acceptable, providing that laboratory personnel have immediate access to the monitoring data, so that appropriate corrective action can be taken if the recorded values are out of the acceptable range. There must be records showing daily functionality of the system.

**CYG.33900 Biological Safety Cabinet**  
Phase II

The biological safety cabinet (sterile hood) is certified annually to ensure that filters are functioning properly and that airflow meets specifications.

**NOTE:** All cell cultures must be manipulated under conditions that ensure sterility and that protect the technologists. A sterile, biologic containment hood whose function is certified annually is required.

**Evidence of Compliance:**

✓ Maintenance schedule of BSC function checks **AND**
✓ Records of testing and certification

**CYG.33950 ISH Slide Processing System Temperature Checks**  
Phase II

Individual slide slots (or a representative sample thereof) of *in situ* hybridization (ISH) temperature controlled slide processing systems are checked for temperature accuracy before being placed in service and at least annually thereafter.

**Evidence of Compliance:**

✓ Written procedure for verification of temperature accuracy **AND**
✓ Records of equipment verification
**PROCEDURES AND TESTS**

**Inspector Instructions:**

- Sampling of test procedures for specimen handling
- Observe how incubator/alarm systems are connected to power and compressed gas containers
- Confirm that prenatal cultures are split between at least two incubator systems

---

**CYG.40000**  
**Culture - Amniotic Fluid and Chorionic Villus**  
**Phase II**

Amniotic fluid and chorionic villus cultures are split between two incubators with independent electrical circuits or emergency power systems, backup gas sources, and emergency alarms.

**NOTE:** If such arrangements are not feasible, a written protocol must ensure necessary growth requirements for all cultures and protection from power failures.

**Evidence of Compliance:**

✓ Written procedure for incubation of independent cultures using separate incubators with separate back-up systems/sources OR procedure describing an alternative method to ensure protection from power failures

---

**CYG.40100**  
**Culture - All Specimen Types**  
**Phase II**

Duplicate or independently established cultures are prepared for all specimen types, whenever possible.

**NOTE:** The intent is to provide backup cultures in the event of failures due to contamination, technical error and other problems, as well as providing the best opportunity to verify true mosaicism and maternal cell contamination.

In cancer studies, the clonal abnormality may be identified in only one culture system. The procedure manual should specify a prioritization scheme for what culture systems shall be set up when the sample volume or cellularity is insufficient to set up all cultures according to the laboratory’s routine.

**Evidence of Compliance:**

✓ Written procedure for the preparation of back-up cultures for all specimens

---

**CYG.40200**  
**Harvesting - Amniotic Fluid and Chorionic Villus**  
**Phase II**

Duplicate amniotic fluid and chorionic villus flasks or dishes are harvested independently.

**NOTE:** To prevent failures due to contamination or technical error, all cultures from a patient should not be harvested in the same batch.
Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure for harvesting of amniotic fluid and chorionic villus flasks/dishes

DEFINITIONS

The following definitions of terms are offered as a guide to inspectors and laboratories:

ANALYZED CELLS: banded metaphase cells in which the individual chromosomes are counted and evaluated in their entirety, either at the microscope or from intact digitized images or photographic prints.

COUNTED CELLS: the number of metaphase cells evaluated for chromosome number.

KARYOGRAM: the cutout and paired chromosomes from a photograph or the arranged computer-generated image.

SCORED CELLS: cells assessed for the presence or absence of a specific cytogenetic feature, usually indicated either by a particular clinical history or by the finding of one or two abnormal cells during the course of a study. Numbers of cells to be scored is to be defined in the laboratory policy, in compliance with specific checklist requirements.

CELL LINE/CLONE: a population of cells with the same chromosome complement. Chromosome gain and structural aberrations are clonal when the gain or structural aberration is present in two or more cells. Chromosome loss is clonal when it is present in three or more cells. (ISCN).

STEMLINE CLONE: The stemline is the most basic clone of a tumor cell population.

SIDELINE CLONE (SUBCLONE): a population of cells with one or more of the same chromosome abnormalities seen in the stemline clone, but which has additional abnormalities not found in the stemline clone.

COLONY: a discrete focus of cells that is harvested and stained while attached to the cell culture growth substrate.

NUMBER OF CELLS COUNTED

Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of test procedures for cells counted
- Sampling of patient records/worksheets

**REVISED** 09/22/2021
Cyg.40500 Counting - Stimulated Blood Samples Phase II

For stimulated blood samples (non-neoplastic disorders), at least 20 cells are counted with the exception of abbreviated studies.

NOTE 1: Under special clinical circumstances (eg, rule out sex chromosome mosaicism or mosaicism of another numerical or structural abnormality), additional cells may need to be counted. The laboratory must have written criteria for these circumstances in which additional cells should be counted.
NOTE 2: Under other special clinical circumstances, fewer than 20 cells may be counted. The laboratory must have written criteria for the circumstances under which an abbreviated study can be performed. Criteria should address the rationale for such studies, the clinical reason for referral, and the minimum number of cells counted.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of cells to be counted for each cytogenetic sample type AND
✓ Patient records/worksheets

REFERENCES
3) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018, Section E5.1.2.

CYG.40600 Counting - Amniotic Fluid or Chorionic Villus (in situ) Samples Phase II

For amniotic fluid or chorionic villus (in situ) samples, at least 15 cells from 15 different colonies are counted, with cells from at least two cultures, with the exception of abbreviated studies.

NOTE: The number of cells counted should be distributed as equally as possible between independently established cultures. Under special circumstances, fewer than 15 cells may be counted. The laboratory must have written criteria for the circumstances under which an abbreviated study can be performed. Criteria should address the rationale for such studies, the clinical reason for referral, and the minimum number of cells counted.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of cells to be counted for each cytogenetic sample type AND
✓ Patient records/worksheets

REFERENCES

CYG.40700 Counting - Amniotic Fluid or Chorionic Villus Culture (Non-in-situ) Phase II

For any non-in-situ amniotic fluid cell or chorionic villus culture (ie, trypsinized culture), at least 20 cells are counted, with cells from at least two cultures (this may include any combination of in-situ and non-in-situ cultures), with the exception of abbreviated studies.

NOTE: The number of cells counted should be distributed as equally as possible between independently established cultures. Under special clinical circumstances, fewer than 20 cells may be counted. The laboratory must have written criteria for the circumstances under which an abbreviated study can be performed. Criteria should address the rationale for such studies, the clinical reason for referral, and the minimum number of cells counted.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of cells to be counted for each cytogenetic sample type AND
✓ Patient records/worksheets

REFERENCES

CYG.40900 Counting - Solid Tissue Samples Phase II
For solid tissue samples (non-neoplastic), at least 20 cells are counted with the exception of abbreviated studies.

NOTE: Under specific clinical circumstances, fewer than 20 cells may be counted (eg, for confirmation of an abnormal prenatal chromosome result). The laboratory must have written criteria for the circumstances under which an abbreviated study can be performed. Criteria should address the rationale for such studies, the clinical reason, and the minimum number of cells counted.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of cells to be counted for each cytogenetic sample type AND
✓ Patient records/worksheets

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

NUMBER OF CELLS ANALYZED

Inspector Instructions:

- Policy for number of cells analyzed
- Sampling of patient records/worksheets

Analyses should be performed from two independent cultures, if possible.

**REVISED** 09/22/2021

CYG.41100 Analysis - Non-neoplastic Samples Phase II

A minimum of five cells, with the exception of abbreviated studies, are analyzed.

NOTE 1: Under special clinical circumstances, fewer than five cells may be analyzed. Examples of such circumstances are confirmation of an abnormal prenatal chromosome result, in conjunction with chromosomal microarray analysis, or peripheral blood chromosome studies on family members to exclude a previously identified chromosome rearrangement. The laboratory must have written criteria for the circumstances under which an abbreviated study can be performed. Criteria should address the rationale for such studies, the clinical reason for referral, and the minimum number of cells analyzed.

NOTE 2: Under other special clinical circumstances (eg, rule out mosaicism of a numerical or structural abnormality, including sex chromosome mosaicism, which may involve both numerical and structural abnormalities), additional cells may need to be analyzed. The laboratory must have written criteria for the circumstances in which additional cells should be analyzed.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of cells to be counted and analyzed for each cytogenetic sample type AND
✓ Patient records/worksheets

REFERENCES
3) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018, Section E5.1.2.
CYG.41500 Analysis - Neoplastic Samples Phase II

For neoplastic disorders studied in marrow, blood or solid tumor specimens, at least 20 cells are analyzed, if possible.

NOTE: Under special clinical circumstances, fewer than 20 cells may be analyzed in lymphomas, solid tumors, and metastatic neoplasms with complex karyotypes. A sufficient number of metaphase cells (generally at least 10) should be analyzed to permit characterization of the abnormal clone(s). The circumstances under which abbreviated studies may be performed must be stated in the laboratory procedure.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of cells to be analyzed for each cytogenetic sample type AND
✓ Patient records/worksheets

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

CYG.41550 Analysis - Neoplastic Samples Phase I

For neoplastic bone marrow/blood/solid tumor specimens, two or more cultures are analyzed, when possible.

NOTE: For neoplastic bone marrow/blood/solid tumor specimens, cells from two or more culture conditions are analyzed, when possible.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of culture conditions to be analyzed for each cytogenetic sample type AND
✓ Patient records/worksheets

REFERENCES

NUMBER OF KARYOGRAMS

Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of test procedures for number of karyograms
- Sampling of patient records/worksheets

CYG.41600 Karyograms per Case Phase II

There is a minimum of two karyograms per case, with at least one karyogram per cell line, for the following specimen types.

1. PHA-stimulated blood cells
2. Amniotic fluid (in situ or flasks)
3. Chorionic villus
4. Solid tissue (non-neoplastic)

NOTE: For abbreviated studies, a minimum of one karyogram is required. Examples of such circumstances are confirmation of an abnormal prenatal chromosome result, or peripheral blood chromosome studies on family members to exclude a previously identified chromosome
rearrangement. The laboratory must have written criteria for the circumstances under which an abbreviated study can be performed. Criteria should address the rationale for such studies, the clinical reason for referral, and the minimum number of karyograms.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of karyograms per case for each specimen type

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

CYG.42000  Karyograms - Neoplastic Disorders  Phase II

For neoplastic disorders studied in marrow, blood or solid tumor specimens, there are at least two karyograms per stemline, one karyogram from each sideline (subclone) and one karyogram of a normal cell (if observed in the analysis).

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining number of karyograms for neoplastic disorder studies in bone marrow/blood/solid tissue

BAND RESOLUTION

Inspector Instructions:

• Sampling of test procedures for band resolution

• Under what circumstances might your laboratory use a lower band resolution?

• Examine the karyograms from cases. Determine whether the band level is sufficient to provide the rendered interpretation.

CYG.42200  Band Level - Constitutional Cases  Phase II

The band level for constitutional cases is at least at the 400-band level of resolution.

NOTE: Constitutional cases must be banded at least at the 400-band level (International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature - ISCN).

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy on band resolution

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.
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CYG.42300  Band Level - Blood Samples  Phase II

At least the 550-band level of resolution is achieved in appropriate blood samples.

NOTE: The 550-band level is the minimum goal of all such studies, particularly in cases of developmental delay/intellectual disability, dysmorphology and birth defects.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy on band resolution

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

CYG.42400  Banding and Resolution  Phase II

The quality of banding and resolution is sufficient to render the reported interpretation.

REFERENCES

IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION

The use of the term in situ hybridization (ISH) in this section applies to all ISH methods, including fluorescence (FISH), chromogenic (CISH), silver (SISH), and brightfield (BRISH) in situ hybridization.

Please refer to the Definition of Terms section of the All Common (COM) Checklist for definitions of analytical validation and analytical verification.

Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of ISH policies and procedures
- Sampling of probe validation/verification records
- Sampling of QC records
- Sampling of patient test reports
- Sampling of predictive marker assay validation, verification, and revalidation/verification studies
- Records of annual benchmark comparison for breast predictive markers

- How are ISH cut-off values established?
- How does your laboratory validate/verify assay performance prior to test implementation?
- How do you validate/verify the most recently added predictive marker on your test menu?
- What is your course of action when a probe does not produce an internal control signal?

- Review a sampling of ISH cases and controls. Evaluate signal, background and morphology.

CYG.42700  ISH Probe Validation/Verification  Phase II
There are policies, procedures, and records of validation/verification of all in situ hybridization (ISH) probes.

NOTE: Refer to CYG.48399 for specific validation/verification requirements for tests that provide independent predictive information (eg, HER2 predictive marker testing in breast carcinoma). Additional requirements for test method validation/verification are in the All Common Checklist.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure for validation/verification of ISH probes

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

CYG.42750 ISH Assay Performance Phase I
There are records of in situ hybridization (ISH) performance for each assay.

NOTE: Assay performance should include monitoring hybridization efficiency, probe signal intensity and overall assay results, including controls, as applicable.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure defining acceptance criteria for ISH assay performance AND
✓ Records of QC monitoring of ISH assay performance at defined frequency

CYG.42900 Interphase ISH - Cut-off Value Phase II
For interphase in situ hybridization (ISH), the laboratory establishes a normal cut-off value for results for each probe used, when applicable.

NOTE: Refer to the All Common Checklist for specific test method validation requirements. Cut-off values are usually required when ISH testing uses locus-specific probes against nuclear DNA.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure for establishing normal cut-off values AND
✓ Records from cut-off value studies

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

CYG.43000 ISH Scoring Phase II
When applicable, there are written procedures for scoring in situ hybridization (ISH) results, including the number of cells scored, and all analyses are scored according to these procedures.

NOTE: For predictive marker testing, refer to CYG.47880 for requirements on reporting of the scoring method used.

REFERENCES
Cytogenetics Checklist 09.22.2021

1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

CYG.43200  ISH Controls

Controls (internal and/or external) are used and recorded for each *in situ* hybridization (ISH) analysis.

NOTE: What functions as a control depends on the specific assay, signal pattern present, and sample type. For example, assays designed to detect deletions may use internal controls that include both the probe of interest and a control locus probe, both of which map to the same chromosome. In this situation, there are two internal controls, the signal for the probe of interest on the normal homolog and the control locus signals on both the normal and deleted homolog.

For a dual fusion assay, the probe signals on each of the normal homologs function as internal controls. If a probe is used that does not produce an internal control signal (eg, a Y chromosome probe in a female) another sample that is known to have the probe target must be run in parallel as an external control with the patient sample. In addition, many ISH assays use an external control(s). For FDA-cleared or approved ISH assays, laboratories must follow manufacturer's instructions for quality control at minimum.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining use of control loci with each ISH analysis AND
✓ Records of QC results

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

CYG.43250  ISH Probe Intended Target

There is a system in place to ensure that the *in situ* hybridization (ISH) probe used is for the intended target.

NOTE: Examples can include (but are not limited to): 1) concurrent analysis of any available metaphase cells in an interphase cell analysis; 2) inclusion of an internal or external target that results in a positive signal for each hybridization; 3) written protocols that ensure the respective probe is applied to the intended specimen.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining the system for ensuring use of the appropriate ISH probe AND
✓ Records confirming intended target

CYG.44666  PGD Report

If *in situ* hybridization (ISH) testing is performed on cells obtained from embryo biopsy for the purposes of preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD), the final report must include an interpretation with information on the limitations of single cell diagnosis in preimplantation embryos.

NOTE: Because only one or two cells may be collected for ISH chromosome analysis using blastomere biopsy, testing that can be conducted is limited and does not allow analysis of all chromosomes for abnormalities. Mosaicism can affect the results of PGD when blastomere biopsy is performed. Also, signal overlap, diffuse hybridization, poor hybridization or poor specimen quality can affect ISH results. Because of the inherent risk of inaccuracy of results, it
is important to make patients aware of prenatal follow-up and testing options. The interpretation must be written to facilitate understanding by a non-geneticist.

REFERENCES

CYG.46799 Modified FDA-Cleared/Approved Assay

Phase II

If the laboratory modifies an FDA-cleared/approved assay, the modified procedure has been validated to yield equivalent or superior performance.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Records of validation studies for modified FDA-cleared/approved assays

REFERENCES
1) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, 2018 edition, Revised 01/2018.

**REVISED** 06/04/2020

CYG.47866 ISH Interpretation

Phase II

If an in situ hybridization (ISH) study requires consultation with a qualified pathologist and/or a cytogeneticist for accurate interpretation, the appropriate expert is consulted and their involvement is recorded.

PREDICTIVE MARKERS

The term predictive marker used within this section refers to in situ hybridization (ISH) tests used to predict responsiveness to a specific treatment independent of other histopathologic findings. Rather than confirming a specific diagnosis, these tests should differentiate predicted responsiveness to a targeted therapy among cases of the same diagnosis.

The current CAP guidelines (CAP Guidelines) relating to predictive marker testing (eg, ASCO/CAP HER2 in breast cancer) may be found at cap.org in the Protocols and Guidelines section. The guidelines are periodically updated based on new evidence. Laboratories should review updated predictive marker guidelines and promptly implement changes for items relating to requirements in the checklists (eg, validation, fixation, scoring criteria).

If digital image analysis is used, additional requirements in the Digital Image Analysis section also apply.

**REVISED** 09/22/2021

CYG.47880 Report Elements

Phase I

For in situ hybridization (ISH) tests that provide independent predictive information, the patient report includes information on specimen processing, the probe, and the scoring method used.

NOTE: The following information must be included in the patient report:

1. The type of specimen fixation and processing (eg, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections, air-dried imprints)
2. The probe and, if applicable, the detection system used (ie, LSAB, polymer, proprietary kit, vendor name, etc.; information on the type of equipment used is not necessary)

3. Criteria used to determine a positive vs. negative result and scoring system (eg, manual or automated)

4. Laboratory interpretation of predictive marker testing (ISH) is reported according to the manufacturer's instructions, or when available, following the structure, format, and criteria set forth in the current CAP guidelines relating to predictive marker testing (eg, ASCO/CAP HER2 testing in breast cancer and CAP/ASCP/ASCO HER2 in gastroesophageal carcinoma).

5. Limitations relating to suboptimal preanalytical factors that may impact results, such as prolonged cold ischemia time or over- or under-fixation.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure for scoring and reporting ISH results for tests involving predictive markers
OR report template containing all required elements AND
✓ Copies of patient reports confirming inclusion of the required elements AND
✓ Established guidelines used by the laboratory

REFERENCES


CYG.47885 Annual Result Comparison - Breast Carcinoma Phase II

For in situ hybridization (ISH) tests performed on breast carcinoma that provide independent predictive information, the laboratory at least annually compares its patient results with published benchmarks and evaluates interobserver variability between individuals performing the technical component of ISH testing (ie, scoring of ISH slides).

NOTE: For HER2 studies, the overall proportion of HER2 positive breast cancers in patients with breast cancer is 10-25%; however, the proportion of HER2 positive results within an individual cytogenetics laboratory may differ based on the case mix of the specimens received for testing. The laboratory should monitor its overall trends in positive results in light of this information.

Individuals performing the technical component of ISH testing must have their concordance compared with each other at least annually. Interobserver concordance for positive vs. negative ISH results should be at least 95%.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Records of annual result comparison and evaluation of interobserver variability

REFERENCES


CYG.48399 Predictive Marker Testing - Validation/Verification Phase II

Predictive marker testing by in situ hybridization (eg, FISH, CISH, SISH) is validated/verified and records of validation/verification are retained.

NOTE: For HER2 (ERBB2) breast predictive marker testing, the following minimum numbers of cases must be used:
● FDA-cleared/approved tests - 40 cases (20 positive and 20 negative samples)
● Laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) - 80 cases (40 positive and 40 negative samples)
For other predictive markers, the laboratory director must determine the appropriate number of positive and negative samples to be used to adequately validate/verify the test. In general, laboratories should consider using higher numbers of test cases when assessing laboratory-developed tests or modified FDA-cleared/approved tests than is necessary for unmodified FDA-cleared/approved tests for the same analyte. For genetic abnormalities where positive cases are rare, the laboratory director may determine that fewer validation cases are necessary. However, the rationale for using fewer cases must be recorded.

The validation/verification data should clearly show the degree of concordance between the assays or methods. Acceptable concordance levels should be defined by the laboratory and follow the current CAP guidelines if available.

The characteristics of the cases used for validation/verification should be similar to those seen in the laboratory’s patient population (ie, core biopsy vs. open biopsy, primary vs. metastatic tumor, etc.).

Samples used for validation/verification must be handled in conformance with the guidelines in this checklist. Laboratories should use tissues that have been processed by using the same fixative and processing methods as cases that will be tested clinically.

If changes are made to the testing methods (eg, probe, pretreatment protocol), the laboratory director is responsible for determining the extent of the performance verification or revalidation needed based on the scope of the changes in the test method.

This requirement is applicable to both new and existing assays. If review of the initial validation/verification does not meet the current standard, it must be supplemented and brought into compliance. It is possible to do this retroactively by review and documentation of past proficiency testing challenges or by sending unstained slides from recent cases to a referral laboratory for correlation. If no records exist from the initial validation/verification, the assay must be fully revalidated/verified.

This checklist requirement applies to laboratories that perform the technical portion of the testing process.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Records of validation/verification data including criteria for concordance

REFERENCES

**REVISED** 09/22/2021
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CYG.48932 Fixation - HER2 (ERBB2) Breast Predictive Marker Testing Phase I

If the laboratory assesses HER2 (ERBB2) gene amplification by in situ hybridization (ISH) for breast predictive marker testing, the laboratory monitors cold ischemia time (one hour or less) and appropriate specimen fixation time.

NOTE: The CAP strongly recommends that specimens subject to HER2 (ERBB2) testing be placed in fixative within one hour of biopsy or resection (cold ischemia time) and remain in 10% neutral phosphate-buffered formalin for at least six hours and up to 72 hours (formalin fixation time) at room temperature. Refer to ANP.22983 for ideal fixation parameters. Decalcification solutions with strong acids should not be used.

If specimens are fixed in a solution other than 10% neutral phosphate-buffered formalin, the laboratory must perform a validation study showing that results are concordant with results from formalin-fixed tissues.

Laboratories testing specimens obtained from another institution must have a policy that addresses cold ischemia time and time of fixation. This information may be obtained by using the laboratory requisition form. Laboratories must communicate with the submitting service to
facilitate appropriate specimen handling and proper recording of fixation parameters (refer to ANP.22983 for details).

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy for monitoring cold ischemia and specimen fixation time AND
✓ Records of action taken when cold ischemia and fixation times are consistently outside of required parameters or are not available to the laboratory

REFERENCES

CYG.48950 Predictive Marker Testing - Decalcified Specimens Phase I

If the laboratory performs in situ hybridization (ISH) for predictive markers on decalcified specimens, the assay was validated for decalcified specimens or the results include a disclaimer noting that these assays have not been validated on decalcified specimens.

NOTE: Decalcification may adversely affect patient results. If the assay has not been validated for decalcified specimens, a disclaimer must be included in the patient report, such as, "This assay has not been validated on decalcified tissues. Results should be interpreted with caution given the possibility of false negative results on decalcified specimens."

Using acid decalcified tissues is not recommended.

REFERENCES

DIGITAL IMAGE ANALYSIS

This section applies to laboratories using digital image analysis to evaluate specific features in a specimen or tissue section image following enhancement and processing of that image, including but not limited to morphometric analysis, ISH and cytogenetics (evaluation of metaphase chromosomes).

If predictive marker testing is performed, additional requirements in the Predictive Markers section also apply.

Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of validation and calibration policies and procedures
- Sampling of validation/calibration records
- Sampling of specimen analysis policies and procedures
- Sampling of patient digital image analysis reports for completeness

- What is your course of action if calibration is unacceptable?
Select a representative case and follow the entire process from receipt to final reporting.

**CYG.49470 Preanalytic Testing Phase Validation Phase II**

There are records showing that the preanalytic phase of the test system has been validated for each assay, including fixation and processing.

**NOTE:** Applicable requirements under the "Test Method Validation and Verification-Nonwaived Tests" section of the All Common Checklist must be followed.

**REFERENCES**


**CYG.49475 Calibration Phase II**

Each instrument is calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the instrument.

**REFERENCES**


**CYG.49480 Quality Control - Digital Image Analysis Phase II**

Control materials are run concurrently with patient specimens to ensure appropriate functionality of the digital image system.

**NOTE:** Controls are samples that act as surrogates for patient/client specimens. They are periodically processed like a patient/client sample to monitor the ongoing performance of the analytic process. Controls should check test performance at relevant decision points for the digital image analysis system.

For qualitative tests, a positive and a negative control may be sufficient. For quantitative or semiquantitative tests, controls at more than one level should be used.

**Evidence of Compliance:**

✓ Written QC policy AND
✓ Records of QC results

**REFERENCES**

1) Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare, Medicaid and CLIA programs; CLIA fee collection; correction and final rule. Fed Register. 2003(Jan 24); [42CFR493.1256(d)(3)(ii)].

**REVISED** 09/22/2021

**CYG.49485 Area of Analysis Phase II**

A qualified pathologist selects or confirms the appropriate areas for analysis prior to reporting results, as applicable.

**NOTE:** Specimens that do not represent "in situ" samples embedded in paraffin may not require pathologist review. Examples include cultured preparations and direct preparations of liquid specimens including blood, urine, pleural fluid, etc.
Analysis Guidelines and Procedures

There are written guidelines for identification of appropriate areas and cells for analysis.

NOTE: Evaluation of heterogeneous cell populations requires use of specific guidelines and procedures to ensure analysis of the appropriate areas and/or cells, particularly if there is background or nonspecific staining, or if there is cell debris, endogenous pigment, and/or artifacts of aging, sectioning or preparation.

Test results may be affected by fixation parameters, including time of fixation, type of fixative used, hemorrhage, necrosis, and autolysis of tissue.

Final Report Elements - Digital Image Analysis

The final report includes the specimen source, name of the vendor and imaging system used, probe, and the detection method, as well as any limitations of the test result, if applicable.

GENOMIC COPY NUMBER ANALYSIS USING ARRAYS

This technology is used to assess copy number of genomic regions. Regardless of platform used (eg, CGH, SNP), reagents for hybridization and detection, or analytic components for evaluation, the laboratory is responsible for assuring that appropriate controls are performed and records retained for all aspects of analysis. This technology may also include a variety of reverse and forward hybridization formats. Reverse hybridization arrays use multiple unlabeled probes on a solid support to investigate a patient sample that carries a label, either direct (fluorescent or radioactive) or indirect (affinity labels such as biotin, digoxigenin, etc.). Another form of array involves multiple real-time amplification assays to measure multiple targets simultaneously. Controls for arrays monitor those steps carried out by the laboratory (sample preparation and labeling, hybridization and detection) and by the manufacturer (assay preparation, detection and hybridization reagents). Manufacturers also contribute to QC by producing products under good manufacturing practices, providing control material for each analyte, and by providing sequence information or confirmatory tests to resolve ambiguous results.

Inspector Instructions:

- Sampling of array procedures, including nucleic acid extraction and analytical wet bench and bioinformatics processes
- Sampling of array validation studies
- Sampling of array performance monitoring records
- Sampling of patient test reports
- How does your laboratory validate assay performance prior to test implementation?
- What processes are used to monitor ongoing assay performance?
- Review records of assay performance monitoring. If any problems are found during review of performance monitoring records, or when asking questions, further evaluate the laboratory’s investigation and resolution.
Nucleic acids are extracted, isolated, and purified by methods reported in the literature, by an established commercially available kit or instrument, or by a validated method developed by the laboratory.

NOTE: Extraction procedures may combine purification or isolation of nucleic acids according to the level of purity needed for downstream applications.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Records to support nucleic acid extraction/isolation/purification is performed by a validated method

REFERENCES

The quantity and quality of nucleic acids are determined, when appropriate.

NOTE: The quantity and quality of nucleic acids (DNA or RNA) must be measured prior to use in a procedure whose success depends on accurately determining the quantity, concentration, integrity, and/or purity of the nucleic acids. Techniques commonly used to assess nucleic acid quantity and/or quality include electrophoresis, UV/VIS spectrophotometry, and fluorescence spectroscopy.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written policy defining conditions under which quantity and/or quality of nucleic acids are measured AND
✓ Written procedure for verifying nucleic acid quantity and/or quality AND
✓ Records of nucleic acid quantity and/or quality determination

REFERENCES

If extracted nucleic acid is accepted as a specimen type, the laboratory has a written policy that isolation of nucleic acids for clinical testing occurs in a CLIA-certified laboratory or a laboratory meeting equivalent requirements as determined by the CAP and/or the CMS. This policy is clearly displayed to ordering clients.

NOTE: All clinical testing must be performed in CLIA-certified laboratories or laboratories meeting equivalent requirements (refer to GEN.41350). This includes all components of testing that may impact the quality of the test result, including isolation or extraction of nucleic acids. Laboratories may choose to have referring clients formally attest that extracted nucleic acid submitted for testing has been isolated or extracted in an appropriately qualified laboratory.
Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written statement on the test requisition, test catalog, or policy available to referring clients stating that the laboratory only accepts isolated or extracted nucleic acids for which extraction or isolation is performed in an appropriately qualified laboratory

CYG.49545 Validation Studies for DNA-Based Copy Number Array - Specimen Types Phase II

Validation studies for DNA-based copy number arrays are performed for each specimen type that can be affected by different preanalytic variables, that requires different processes for DNA extraction, and for those specimens with potentially interfering substances (eg, FFPE tissue, decalcified tissue, tissue containing melanin or mucin).

NOTE: A number of preanalytic and analytic processing variables can significantly influence the quality and integrity of nucleic acids extracted from a specimen. Commonly tested tissue sources must be included in the validation, but it is not expected for the laboratory to include every tissue source that could be examined by the assay.

It is the responsibility of the laboratory director or designee meeting CAP director qualifications to determine when a separate validation is needed versus a limited study to demonstrate that the DNA obtained from the specimen performs the same. For example, an array platform that has been originally validated to detect constitutional copy number abnormalities from peripheral blood will require a separate full validation to detect somatic alterations but may only require a more limited study to allow for a different specimen such as saliva to be used.

If an array has been validated for constitutional copy number alterations from fresh/frozen tissue, every potential tissue source (lung, liver, kidney, etc.) does not require separate validation, unless they potentially include interfering substances (eg, mucin).

Validations can be augmented by, but not supplanted with, additional reference materials (eg, characterized cell lines, cell lines with spiked in nucleic acids). Matrix-appropriate samples must be included.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Records of validation studies

CYG.49575 Assay Performance Monitoring Phase I

Assay performance is monitored for each run and quality metrics are verified prior to reporting results.

NOTE: The monitoring of assay performance includes the review and recording of the quality metrics of each run. This may include:
- DNA labeling verification (using detection of label, purification and quantitation of labeled DNA fragments, or electrophoretic techniques)
- Review of DLRs (Derivative Log Ratio)
- Genotyping performance (SNP arrays only)
- Number of suboptimal samples
- Monitoring the number of copy number alterations per sample
- Other quality metrics provided by the array software

Criteria for acceptable performance must be defined. This includes hardware and analytical software.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure for monitoring assay performance with defined acceptability criteria AND
✓ Records of verification

REFERENCES


CYG.49580 Array Analytical Wet Bench Procedure

Phase II

There is a written procedure for performing the analytical wet bench process.

NOTE: The procedure must include:

- A description of the analytical target regions (eg, targeted or genome-wide)
- A description of acceptable sample types (see CYG.49545)
- Methods and reagents used for isolating, labeling, and hybridization of nucleic acids, as applicable
- Controls (including in silico)
- Instrument software and version
- Acceptance and rejection criteria for the results generated by the wet bench. These should include criteria for determining when the wet bench process has failed or is suboptimal.
- Written procedure for any portion of the wet bench process performed by a referral laboratory, if applicable.

Evidence of Compliance:

✓ Written procedure(s) that describes the analytical wet bench process, including sections noted above, as applicable

CYG.49585 Array Analytical Bioinformatics Procedure

Phase II

The laboratory has a written procedure that describes the steps in recording the bioinformatics process (also termed pipeline) used to analyze, interpret, and report array findings.

NOTE: A bioinformatics pipeline includes all algorithms, software, scripts, parameters, reference sequences, and databases, whether in-house, vendor-developed, or open source.

The written procedure must describe the bioinformatics process(es) including, where applicable:

- Individual software applications (open source, proprietary, and custom scripts) and versioning
- Description of input and output data files for each step of the pipeline, including in silico control files or sources
- Annotations and their sources (eg, public or private databases, with versions used)
- Criteria and thresholds for detection of array findings (eg, minimum number of probes or genomic size for copy number variants)
- Determination of the limits of detection
- Additional scripts or steps used to connect discrete applications in the pipeline
- Quality control metrics, including batch or sample-specific metrics and acceptance and rejection criteria for the results generated by the analytical bioinformatics process. Criteria must be based on metrics and quality control parameters established during test optimization and utilized during validation
- Limitations in the test methodology
- Written procedures for any portion of the array bioinformatics process performed by a referral laboratory or a commercial service provider, if applicable. This should include a written description of how the security of identifiable patient information (eg, HIPAA compliance) is ensured during transmission and storage of data by the referral laboratory or commercial service provider.
Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure that describes the analytical bioinformatics process, including sections noted above, as applicable

CYG.49590 Interpretation and Reporting of Array Findings Phase I

There is a written policy for interpreting and reporting of array findings.

NOTE: The laboratory must have a written algorithm for classifying and interpreting the clinical significance of identified findings. The ACMG guidelines can be used for classification and interpretation of copy number variants in inherited disorders.

Genome-wide array analysis may yield genetic findings unrelated to the clinical presentation for which the patient is undergoing testing. The laboratory policy must describe which, if any, and for what reasons, findings unrelated to the clinical purpose for testing are reported and the method of communication to the ordering physicians and patients, as applicable.

The written policy must include indications for confirmatory testing. The laboratory must determine by confirmation studies during validation if and when confirmatory testing of identified findings should be performed.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Written procedure that describes the process used for classification, interpretation, and reporting of array findings AND
✓ Records of compliance with procedure for classification, interpretation, and reporting of findings AND
✓ Laboratory database of findings identified and/or reported AND
✓ Written policy that describes which, if any, and for what reasons, findings unrelated to the clinical purpose for testing are reported

REFERENCES

CYG.49600 DNA Copy Number Array Reports Elements Phase I

In addition to all relevant items outlined in CYG.31875, reports for DNA copy number analysis using arrays include the following elements:

1. Platform used
2. Genome build used
3. Analysis and/or reporting strategy: Detection and/or reporting criteria for copy number and homozygosity (if applicable), including number of probes and/or size limitations
4. ISCN-compliant nomenclature for reported findings
5. References to any databases used
6. A statement on the need for genetic counseling when indicated
7. A statement recommending further testing when indicated
8. Clinical significance of DNA copy number changes, when applicable

NOTE: Platform information includes, but is not limited to manufacturer, array version, number of probes, average probe spacing, SNP content, and targeted regions. Analysis strategy includes the copy number controls methodology: comparative/competitive or in silico.
Complex findings should be reported using ISCN-compliant nomenclature (eg, table format, “cth” for chromothripsis), when possible.

REFERENCES

PERSONNEL

NOTE: For purposes of CAP accreditation, the “laboratory director” is that individual who oversees all sections of the laboratory, and in whose name accreditation is granted. Specific requirements for that person are found in the Director Assessment Checklist. The section director (technical supervisor) refers to the person responsible for the medical, technical and/or scientific oversight of the cytogenetics laboratory section.

Inspector Instructions:

- Records of personnel qualifications
have four or more years of fulltime general laboratory training and experience, of which at least two years were in clinical cytogenetics.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Records of section director/technical supervisor qualifications including diploma, transcript(s), primary source verification report, equivalency evaluation, board certification, or current license (if required) AND
✓ Records of work history in related field

REFERENCES

CYG.50180 Cytogentic General Supervisor Qualifications Phase II

The cytogentic general supervisor has at least a bachelor’s degree in a chemical, physical, biological, or clinical laboratory science or medical technology with at least two years of experience in clinical cytogentic under a qualified director (as defined in CYG.50000).

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Records of qualifications including diploma, transcript(s), primary source verification report, equivalency evaluation, board certification, or current license (if required) AND
✓ Records of work history in related field

PHYSICAL FACILITIES

Utilities should be adequate for the overall workload of the cytogentic section, and must meet all safety requirements.

Inspector Instructions:

- Temperature and humidity are sufficient

CYG.61400 Climate Control Phase I

Ambient temperature and humidity are maintained within a defined and acceptable range to facilitate optimal chromosome spreading.

Evidence of Compliance:
✓ Temperature and humidity records in the slide preparation area